

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-62 are currently pending in the present application.

**Restriction**

The Office has improperly restricted the application to one of the alleged distinct inventions:

Group I: Claims 1-36, 50-61, drawn to a system and method for location a transmitter;

Group II: Claims 37-49, drawn to a receiver; and

Group III: Claim 62, drawn to a method for determining the angle of arrival of a signal.

**Election**

The Applicant elects Group I including Claims 1-36, 50-61, with traverse.

**Traverse**

Groups I and III are not related as combination – sub combination. Two way distinctness cannot be shown. Method Claim 62 of Group III has the same utility as Method Claims 23 and 24 of Group I. In addition the searches are in the same class. The Office has offered no evidence to the contrary. The Office has only presented evidence between Groups II and III, not Groups I and III. The restriction between Groups I and III must be withdrawn and Claims 1-36 and 50-62 must be examined.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark C. Comtois	Reg. No. 46,285
L. Lawton Rogers, III	Reg. No. 24,302
D. Joseph English	Reg. No. 42,514
Patrick D. McPherson	Reg. No. 46,255

DUANE MORRIS LLP  
1667 K Street, N.W., Suite 700  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
Telephone: (202) 776-7800  
Facsimile : (202) 776-7801

Dated: December 6, 2005

WSH\149098.1